

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

					·
APPLICATION NO.	. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/508,976		09/24/2004	Young-Nam Kim	IK-0096	1144
34610	7590	11/17/2006		EXAMINER	
FLESHNE		A, LLP	KUHN, MART K		
P.O. BOX 221200 CHANTILLY, VA 20153				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHANTIL	IANTIELT, VA 20133			3637	
				DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/508,976	KIM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	T
	Mart K. Kuhn	3637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence a	ddress
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Se	entember 2004		
,	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		prosecution as to th	ne merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		io momo io
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Application Papers	·		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		e Evaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	• • •	` '	CER 1 121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1196	(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All · b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under do d.d.d. 3 110	(a) (a) or (i).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ation No.	
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •		ıl Stage
application from the International Bureau	•		ŭ
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not recei	ved.	
	•		
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ırv (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Patent Application	
	·/·		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted:

Group I, claim(s) 1–18, drawn to a door apparatus for a drawer, including a rotating means rotatably connecting a door front to a drawer frame, and a rotation limiting means.

Group II, claim(s) 19–20, drawn to a door apparatus for a drawer, including a hinge element and a rotation limiting means.

Group III, claim(s) 21–22, drawn to a door apparatus for a drawer, including a rotating means rotatably connecting a door front to a drawer frame, and a shock-absorbing means.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I–III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: there is no special technical feature common to all of the groups. Groups I and II have a rotation limiting means, but Group III does not; and Groups I and III have a rotating means as such while Group II does not.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention from Groups I-III enumerated above.

Application/Control Number: 10/508,976

Art Unit: 3637

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention of

Page 3

Group I above. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to

form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are different embodiments of the rotation limiting means as follows:

Locking portions and elastically-deforming tilting locks, as in Fig. 4–5

B. Electrically powered magnetic forces, as in Fig. 6–7

C. An operating lever and contact plates, as in Fig. 8

D. A locking lever and a tilting lock, as in Fig. 9–10

E. A locking screw, as in Fig. 11–12

F. A connection link, as in Fig. 13–17

If applicant chooses to pursue prosecution of Group I, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered

non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

A. Claims 1-4

B. Claims 1 and 5-7

C. Claims 1 and 8

D. Claims 1 and 9–10

E. Claims 1 and 11–12

F. Claims 1 and 13–18

The following claim(s) are generic to each listed species: 1.

Art Unit: 3637

- 5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each species has a different special technical feature, as enumerated in Paragraph 3 above.
- 6. A telephone call was made to John Eisenhart on 27 October 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/508,976 Page 5

Art Unit: 3637

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mart K. Kuhn whose telephone number is (571) 272-8926. The examiner can normally be

reached on M-F, 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

MKK **K**<

6. Nov. 2006

LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Lamamai